

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/084,859	DUNN, MELISSA W.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	JOSHUA JOO	2445

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Joshua Joo.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Frank R. Agovino, Reg. No. 27,416.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 November 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

6, 9-10, 12, 15, 21, 29, 36, 37

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Attorney Frank Agovino accepted a proposed amendment submitted by the examiner. Examiner is authorized to issue an examiner's amendment based on the proposed amendment.*

*It is also noted that the examiner separately received approval to change claim 15, in the last line, from "within the determined allow level of access permitted by the user" to "within the list of default access permissions" on November 15, 2010 from Attorney Agovino.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Joshua Joo/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2445

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)